MARY G. SORGER COURT REPORTER

BRENDA L. LEWIS COURT ADMINISTRATOR/ MAGISTRATE 437 EAST DIVISION STREET

CADILLAC, MICHIGAN 49601 231-779-9515

FAX 231-779-5396

DAVID A. HOGG DISTRICT JUDGE

AUDREY D. VAN ALST ATTORNEY MAGISTRATE

July 28, 2009

Mr. Corbin R. Davis Supreme Court Clerk P.O. Box 30052 Lansing, MI 48909

Re: Administrative File No. 2009-11

Dear Mr. Davis:

I assume that the intent of the proposed amendment of MCR 6.302(C)(1) is to require that plea discussions, in which the judge is a participant, be placed on the record in open court. I fully support this change for the reasons stated in my comments to ADM 2006-16. As written, however, proposed MCR 6.302(C)(1) would seem to cover discussions between counsel, not involving the court, which should obviously be conducted privately.

I oppose the changes proposed for MCR 6.302(C)(3)(b) and MCR 6.310(B)(2)(a) by Justices Young and Corrigan that would eliminate an unfulfilled "sentence recommendation" as a basis to withdraw a guilty plea. The process of presenting a sentence recommendation, rather than a sentence agreement, is a device that intentionally obscures rational decision making. Justice Young suggests there is no logical reason to permit the defendant to rescind a valid plea when the court is unwilling to follow a nonbinding recommendation. I say there is no logical reason to allow a nonbinding recommendation to become a basis for the waiver of constitutional rights, because it provides no benefit to the defendant unless it is fulfilled.

Very truly yours

Hon. David A. Hogg

Chief Judge, 84th District Court

